Associate Dean Mary Beth Rosson from IST, accompanied by Dave Hall and Steve Haynes, provided the background of the program proposal (major option add) and four course add proposals from their college. Associate Dean Rosson summarized the history of the proposal's development, noting that IST had in fact initially failed to consult with Computer Science but had opened consultation with them several months ago. She cited assessment and other data indicating that IST students in the field of applications were not receiving the appropriate training that they need for success. She noted the pedagogical need for a major option that would be "less rigorous" in programming theory and thus allow for more breadth in the other areas of application relevant to IST students. John Hannan from Computer Science offered a first-hand summary of the creation of the IST college, underscoring that when IST was first envisioned, care was taken to delineate its purview and emphasize that programming would remain the domain of Computer Science. He cited specific recent cases in which confusion arose by the overlap between IST and Computer Science. He feared that the new courses and major option would in essence be establishing a "light" version of what Computer Science already does to the detriment of the department and the students.

Chair Ozment then opened the floor for general discussion, and the representatives from Computer Science and Information Sciences and Technology responded to questions from the committee members. It was noted that while in theory IST students were welcome to take introductory Computer Science courses, in reality the pre-requisites precluded that, and the Computer Science courses offered too much depth in one area and not enough breadth in others.

After approximately one hour, Chair Ozment thanked the guests, who excused themselves. Subsequent committee discussion indicated the general sentiment that compromise between IST and Computer
Science had been attempted for some time, but that the positions had reached a point of being irreconcilable.

- Following this discussion of proposals 54-58, the committee approved all IST proposals.

**Announcements**

- Chair Ozment announced she would notify the GenEd Task Force that everyone on Curricular Affairs was willing to offer their input if needed.

**Revisions to the Guide for Curricular Procedures**

- Chair Ozment has established a new administrative group in ANGEL where all members have editorial rights.
- Chair Ozment has created a new chapter for the Guide on “Consultation.”
- Please review the revisions by April 23rd so edits can be discussed and completed at the April 29th meeting.

**SCCA FAQs**

- No update at this time as the FAQs will rely on the revisions to the guide.

**Previous Meeting Minutes**

- Approved minutes from the January 28, 2014 meeting.

**February 25, 2014 Senate Curriculum Report**

- **Approved with the following exceptions:**
  - 42-05-005 AEE 216 - RETURN TO DEPARTMENT
  - 42-05-012 Music Education - RETURN TO DEPARTMENT
  - 42-05-042 HI ED 490 - RETURN TO DEPARTMENT

Meeting Adjourned at 11:15
Next meeting: April 29, 2014
102 Kern Graduate Building

Minutes submitted by
Julia S. Gibboney
University Curriculum Coordinator