1. Presentation and discussion on the topic of Strategic Planning with Provost Jones. (moved to our next meeting)

2. Approval of Minutes of the October 22, 2013 UPC committee meeting.

3. Announcements by the Chair
   a. Updates on future reports

4. Brief updates from administrative liaisons in UPC
   a. Physical Plant and Resources – Ford Stryker: at the Jan. trustee meeting, there will be several important actions: architect will be appointed for Beaver, projects will be improved (including Burrowes, phase 2 renovations to the IM Building -- new basketball court, extended running track, new locker rooms, renovation to Biology labs in Mueller, fixing the Shavers Creek Dam)
   b. Development & Alumni Relations – Dave Lieb: main comments will come during the presentation
   c. University Budget Office – Rachel Smith: General Education Committee on budget, co-chaired w/ Chris Long, is striving to analyze the impact of potential changes in general to budgetary planning. They are working on determining current costs and the possible cost of changes. The office received word from Harrisburg that the budget should be released relatively early next year, so they will be preparing the new President.
   d. Office of Planning & Institutional Assessment – Michael Dooris: Appointed senators from the General Education Task Force are offering to visit various committees. As a member of the logistics task force, Mike would be glad to arrange to have representatives from the committee visit with UPC. There will be another presentation this spring. The long term goal is to have a new curriculum in place by summer and fall 2015. If Sen. Dooris sees a strong reason for these guests to visit, they will arrange it.
5. **Mandated Reports**
   a. University Budget Report – January or March 2014
   b. Space Allocation and Utilization – Web only report in March 2014
   d. Development and Alumni Relations Report – Web only report in January 2014 – presented here today by Dave Lieb: This report presented information the “For the Future” campaign, including receipts and commitments broken down by types of gifts and classes of donors. Information about the Development Strategic Review which took place in January 2013 was presented along with information about how volunteers were utilized and the changes in those policies which are contemplated going forward. Information was also presented about how the campaign would be wrapped up. This report will be included in the March 2014 Senate Agenda as a web-only report. See this report for more information.

   In the discussion following Sen. Lieb’s presentation, Sen. Nelson raised the idea of cross-collaboration among faculty looking for innovation. Sen. Lieb mentioned that a goal is to incentivize deans and programs to engage in interdisciplinary pursuits. Sen. Egolf asked if the surplus in donations for facilities might mask a deficiency in other areas? Sen. Lieb responded that it could to some extent, and noted that the single largest facilities contribution to this campaign was driven by donor interest.

6. **Discussion of Engaged Scholarship draft:**
   - Nine committees working on this report -- likely that they are asking for between 3/4 page-1.5 pages. Sen. Egolf has received comments from both Brent Yarnal and Jim Selmer. Chair Yarnal's ideas include the notion that it's heading in the right direction. He also shared a strategic planning presentation from Nick Jones. Perhaps the committee might want to make engaged scholarship a fundamental tenet of strategic planning in future agendas.

   - Mike Dooris discussed the presentation -- main themes include health, resources, education, cultures, digital issues -- within each theme there are lots of details. Jones is working to give some broad direction without getting into the specifics. Engagement is one of the main themes. Deans and chancellors want to address access, ethics, affordability, on-line education, global entrepreneurship, innovation, analytics, flipping the classroom and many others. Dooris feels that the engaged scholarship project is worthwhile, along with lots of other agendas.

   - Sen. Egolf pointed out that the focus for this specific report is engaged scholarship, explicitly. The biggest problem so far seems to be that engaged scholarship has not yet been mentioned to the units as an issue that needs to be addressed. Without that instruction to the units, how does engaged scholarship become integral? For Sen. Dooris, the challenge is in avoiding mandating specific themes and, instead, to aim for encouraging them.
• Sen. Brown pointed out that on campuses, many of the students are already more engaged in the community, there is less of a distinction between academics and community involvement. We may want to look at the campuses as a model of engaged scholarship.

• Sen. Nelson suggested that we integrate flexibility, critical thinking, and creativity as explicit goals (and part of engaged scholarship).

• Sen. Milton explained that undergraduates are interested in ideas that enhance opportunities to engage in their fields. We might want to incorporate students into the discussions as we strive to facilitate engaged learning.

• There was a general consensus that we want to aim for inspiration -- inspiring units rather than coercing them.

• Sen. Selmer pointed out that most of this happens at the department level. If we're doing planning and planning mechanisms, maybe we should offer some goals and achievements that we can make down the road. A first step might be to take an inventory of engaged scholarship itself. Then aim to focus on the direct interaction among faculty and students to see what's already happening. Then talk about potential resources -- time and funds. First year would be inventory, second would be assessment (for examples, exit interviews with students), 3rd year, asking how do we enhance this? Look for new ideas. 4-5 years, evaluate and plan for future. We're going to continue this discussion on-line.

7. Old Business

8. New Business: next meeting, Nick Jones will visit.

9. Adjournment - 10:20 AM

Minutes submitted by Roger Egolf, Committee Chair